

---

Subject: Hierarchy of overlaying speed profiles and National vs. Generic speed profiles.

Posted by [Torben Brand](#) on Thu, 06 Sep 2018 13:08:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

As this posting contains images and formatting I find difficult to embed in the current forum I have made a link to the pdf version of the posting.

[https://forum.railml.org/userfiles/2018-07-02\\_jbd\\_speed-profile-hierarchy-names-refactoring.pdf](https://forum.railml.org/userfiles/2018-07-02_jbd_speed-profile-hierarchy-names-refactoring.pdf)

Shortened posting without images and formatting below:

Further development and definition of the speedProfile

I will here discuss the following:

1. Hierarchy of the speed profiles
2. Generic vs. National names
3. Suggestion for slight refactoring and extension of the speedprofiles.

Hierarchy of the speed profiles

In Norway we have multiple speed profiles that are all NOT continuous which overlap.

Trains in Norway are always certified for more than one speed profile. This as the minimum is the "basis" and the "temporary" speed profiles. Most passenger trains in Norway support at least also the "pluss" speed profile.

So the question is which one is the valid one at a certain point in the infrastructure/ which one to choose.

Conditional speed Profiles

The simplest solution is to create specific speed profiles with a defined condition that are specifically referenced from a train under TT or a formation of vehicle under RS. I do not suggest to reference the trains, vehicles or formations from the speed profile as the profiles do not know about trains, vehicles or formations, but trains, vehicles or formations know about the speed profiles.

Alternatively a new attribute <speedprofile @type="conditional" would indicate that the conditions in the speed profile need to be mapped to the properties in the RS. This would require some additional extensions to cover all conditional properties in the speedprofile in Norway at least.

The conditional speedprofiles are for branch lines, section of lines or local speed restrictions that apply under the following different conditions:

- Direction
- Axleload
- vehicle id/name (but this is just instead of using a generic value like axleload - so mapping can be omitted)
- If the train is a passenger or a freight train
- if the freight train is loaded or empty

These values are all already definable in railML with the usage of or the extension of:

Direction: use <speedChange @dir>

Axleload: use <speedprofile @maxAxleLoad>

vehicle id/name: not covered, but do not map to

passenger or a freight train:

Create new sub element <speedprofile/trainCategoryRef @ref> and map in TT:category @trainUsage the trains in TT and/or the formations/vehicles in RS to the TT:category. Alternative create <speedprofile @trainUsage> and <formation @trainUsage>

loaded or empty train:

Create new subelement <speedprofile/trainCategoryRef @ref> and map in TT:category @deadrun the trains in TT and/or the formations/vehicles in RS to the TT:category. Alternatively create <speedprofile @deadrun> and <formation @deadrun>. The added value of mapping the @deadrun is that the software will know if to use bruttoWeight or tareWeight for it's calculations.

As mentioned these extentions are not needed if we require the user to reference the speedprofile in the train under TT or a formation of vehicle under RS. Something that would be possible with the initial suggested extention in theis thread.

etcsTraincatagory

Further I suggest to move @etcsTrainCategory from <speedChange> to <speedprofile> as this is a generic property of the speedprofile and not each SpeedChange.

As earlier mentionend the need to clarify @etcsTrainCategory reference to name "international train category number"

Should there be and additional new attribute <speedprofile @etcsOperationalTrainCategory> refering to ANNEX B - list of ETCS operational Train categories in addition to @etcsTrainCategory?

I suggest to add the attribute <speedprofile@cantDeficiency> for clear definition of the requirements for the speedprofile when not using the ETCS categories (independent if they are written).

I also suggest to move the attribute <speedChange @signalised> to <speedprofile @signalised>. Alternatively to create a copy <speedprofile @signalised> for a generic approach to the speedprofiles properties instead of each singe speedChange.

Lenght of a speedprofile

To the question how long does the speedprofile extent I would say to the next <speedChange> with the same profile. This either beeing a new @Vmax value of a changed speed value or the "end" value. With the "end" value the profile ends. It is resumed when a new <SpeedChange> with the same profile is present.

So we in Norway suggest to end speed changes for speed profiles that end/are not continous. Prevoiusly we continued the speed profiles by writing in the valid speed profile value for all speed profiles if they did not differ (for instance basis/pluss/krenge = 40/40/40).

This is somewhat in conflict with the latest implementation of the use off the values "end" under <speedChange @Vmax=>. As the development of the value was, in my opinion, not documented transparent (in forum, wiki or comunity), I deem it OK to suggest a refactoring before it's implementation. Alternative the now suggested meaning of "end" (end last speedChange and resume the SpeedChange before that) could still apply if used in the "basis" profile. The same function would, though, be available using an overlay speed profile (for instance in the

levelCrossing temporary speed reduction example in the simple example).

### Choice of overlying speedprofiles

As there is not necessarily a given fixed hierarchy in the overlaying speedprofiles. We need to define a hierarchy rule for the software to choose the correct speedprofile if more than one is present. Example is a decreasing speedprofile for heavy freight trains of continuous  $V_{max}=60$  on the line. If the basis speed profile is above 60 then the heavy freight train profile is valid and if the basis profile is below 60 then the basis profile is valid.

I suggest the following hierarchy:

Selection of  $V_{max}$  for a specific train at a specific point in the infrastructure:

1. Filter the valid speedprofiles present in infrastructure with the valid ones for RS and/or TT.
  - a. Check for all speedprofiles present in the current position in the infrastructure.
  - b. Check for speedprofiles present in the RS of the formation
  - c. Check for speedprofiles present in the TT of the train
  - d. Make intersection (Schnittmenge) of IS, RS and TT speedprofiles
2. Of these remaining speedprofiles choose the following:
3. If one or multiple speedprofiles with influence="decreasing" is present use the speedprofile with the lowest speed (the current speedChange value).
4. If no speedprofile with influence="decreasing" is present and if one speedprofile with influence="increasing" is present use this.
5. If no speedprofile with influence="decreasing" is present and if multiple speedprofiles with influence="increasing" is present use the speedprofile with the highest speed (the current speedChange value)
6. If no speedprofile with influence="decreasing" and "increasing" is present and there is only one speedprofile with no set @influence then use this.
7. If no speedprofile with influence="decreasing" and influence="increasing" is present and there is multiple speedprofiles with no set @influence then use the speedprofile with the lowest speed (speedChange).

National vs. Generic speed profiles.

The wiki for <speedprofile> (<https://wiki.railml.org/index.php?title=IS:speedprofile>) shows a Best practice with the Speed profile names "basis", "tilting", "temporary" and "heavyLoad".

I understand them as international values as they are written in english and use generic terms. But as some of the values have conditions attached to them that might differ from nation to nation and even from line to line I suggest to use different terms here.

Thus I suggest the following clearer term development for <speedprofile @name>.

Stage 1: term recommendation in the wiki.

The profiles that have no conditions and are thus valid for all trains (certified on the line) for a continuous present in time and distance for a baseline speed use the international term "basis".

Norwegian example: "Normal hastighet"

The profiles that have no conditions and are thus valid for all trains (certified on the line) and are NOT continuous present in time or distance use the international term "temporary". Norwegian

example: "midlertidig hastighet".

All other speedprofile names use the national prefix and the national short name. For instance "nor:krenge" for norwegian tilting speed profile. This as a term recommendation in the wiki in a first stage. Also we should have a wiki page for the used values to create an enumeration list in stage 2.

Stage 2: use enumeration list

When we have mapped the internationally used speedprofiles, we can add <speedprofile @type="enumeration list"> and use the valuelist for the international speed profiles as the enumeration list in addition to :other (for anything not mapped in stage 1) and the value "conditional" for conditional speed profiles.

---