
Subject: Semantic of @type in <track> and mapping to national usage?

Posted by [Vasco Paul Kolmorgen](#) on Tue, 06 Jun 2017 13:41:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear IS-Community,

following the rules for the Discussion pages (see

http://wiki.railml.org/index.php?title=Dev:Wiki_Documentation_Guidelines#Discussion_Pages

i have to move the existing discussion about semantic of @type in

<track> and the mapping to national usage to this forum.

In the IS Developers group the a unclear mapping for the classifications

of the track in the type attribute was discussed and shall be improved

in a future version:

=== German usage / Deutsche Anwendung ===

The usage in Germany is currently AFAIK:

Durchgehendes Hauptgleis; pro Betriebsstelle immer Eines bei je Eingleisiger und Zwei je

zweigleisiger Strecken, mit Zugstraße Hauptgleis; alle anderen Gleise mit mindestens einer

Zugstraße Nebengleis; alle anderen Gleise ohne Zugstraßen, nur Rangierfahrten

Gleisverbindung; Gleise ohne eigenen Verkehrswert, in Weichenverbindungen, Überleitungen

The mapping for mainTrack to Deutschland:durchgehendes Hauptgleis is

nearby, but what's with the others:

secondaryTrack: This is a track not frequently used (e. g. for overtaking only). connectingTrack:

This is a transfer track from one to another track e. g. in branches, points or cross overs.

sidingTrack: This is a siding. stationTrack: This is a track in a station, possibly with plattformen.

other: anything Any value that does not fit any value from the previous enumeration list, fulfilling

the constraint: at minimum two characters, whitespace is not allowed.

Any opinions for railML® 2.x and for a refactoring in railML® 3.x?

Best regards,

--

Vasco Paul Kolmorgen - Governance Coordinator

railML.org (Registry of Associations: VR 5750)

Phone railML.org: +49 351 47582911

Altplauen 19h; 01187 Dresden; Germany www.railML.org
