Subject: Re: railML 2.3 infrastructure extension for capacity planning and network statement usecases
Posted by christian.rahmig on Mon, 02 Jan 2017 16:28:54 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear Torben,
dear railML community,

Am 20.12.2016 um 18:21 schrieb Torben Brand:
> Dear railML infrastructure forum,
> As the railML3 implementation takes a bit longer,
> Jernbaneverket has looked into fulfilling the absolute
> requirements of its capacity planning and network statement
> use case with railML2.3 extensions. The study has shown that
> the use case can be fulfilled within the frame of "any"
> element extensions and further "other" attribute definitions
> that may become part of the emerging railML3 schema. All
> extension requirements are based on these use cases. The following
> existing 7 elements in railML2.3 were
> extended:
> •   controller
> •   trackGroups
> •   propOperational
> •   ocsElements
> •   switch/crossing
> •   signal
> •   tunnel
> I will post separate postings for the 7 items here in the
> forum.
> [...]

Before I am going to answer on your separate postings in detail, please
let me thank you for sharing your ideas here in the railML forum with
the railML user and developer community. Contributions like yours are
very important for the further development of railML, especially for the
approaching railML v3.

The proposals are based on railML v2.3. As stated earlier there will be
no railML v2.4 with just infrastructure scheme changes. However, if
there is a decision to go for a railML v2.4 (pushed by requirements of
the timetable schema), how do you want to handle the proposed changes?
Do you want to see them implemented in railML v2.4 or better in v3?

Last, but not least: Happy New (Railway) Year to everyone!

Best regards
Christian

--
Christian Rahmig - Infrastructure scheme coordinator
railML.org (Registry of Associations: VR 5750)
Phone Coordinator: +49 173 2714509; railML.org: +49 351 47582911
Altplauen 19h; 01187 Dresden; Germany   www.railml.org