

---

Subject: Re: Defining data group "leadingVehicle"  
Posted by [Carsten Weber](#) on Fri, 22 Oct 2010 09:12:09 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dear RailML-Users,

I would prefer the first proposal.

The second proposal assumes a drivers cab as a requirement for a leading vehicle.

There are cases, where leading vehicles do not have a drivers cab.

You can see an example at

[http://www-users.rwth-aachen.de/christoph.schmitz2/signale/signale\\_rwe.html](http://www-users.rwth-aachen.de/christoph.schmitz2/signale/signale_rwe.html)  
(german only).

But there are also other examples like fully automated underground trains.

In both cases I would like to rename "otherEquipment" to "deadManControl" or adding "deadManControl" and keeping "otherEquipment" free for other cases like "EBuLa".

Best regards.

Carsten Weber

---