

---

Subject: formationType vs. compositionType

Posted by [Joachim.Rubröder](#) on Fri, 26 Aug 2005 12:21:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

<br><font size=2 face="sans-serif">The formation branch in the rollingstock schema is meant to describe the different engines and wagons forming a train.</font>

<br><font size=2 face="sans-serif">In rollingstock 1.0 a formation has the type &quot;compositionType&quot;, this should be renamed to &quot;formationType&quot;.</font>

<br>

<br><font size=2 face="sans-serif">In the timetable schema exists a composition element with the right &quot;compositionType&quot;.. This element describes more than a &nbsp;formation and has additional sub elements like service and dynamic.</font>

<br>

<br><font size=2 face="sans-serif">Best Regards,</font>

<br><font size=2 face="sans-serif">Joachim</font>

<br><font size=2 face="sans-serif">&nbsp;</font>

---